BSKB COM

650 Town Center Drive, Suite 620 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925 Phone: (714) 708-8555 Fax: (714) 708-8565

## Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 9 2005



To:	Examiner Paul T. Chin, Group 3652	From	TI	nomas M. Small
Fac	(571) 273-8300	Pages:	3	including cover sheet
	(0.1)	Date:	s	epternber 9, 2005
Phone:	Application No. 10/620,665	Our Ref.:	L	8344-01003
Re:	Response to Restriction Requirement	CĊ:		
□ Urgen	——————————————————————————————————————	_	<b>]</b> F	Please Reply   Please Recycle
egestivitege distribution	nission is intended for the sole use of the individual d, confidential and exempt from disclosure unde or duplication of this transmission by someone or if your receipt of this transmission is in error, plea- riginal transmission to us by return mall at the addre	ther than the se notify this f	thoraw. Interior	it is addressed, and may contain information that you are hereby notified that any dissemination, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, niced addressee or its designated agent is strictly thronediately by collect call to (714) 708-8555, and

• Comments:

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 9 2005

PATENT L8344-01003

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

KARIMI, Jamshid

Conf.: 8648

Appl. No.:

10/620,665

Group: 3652

Filed:

July 16, 2002

Examiner:

CHIN, Paul T.

For:

PET REFUSE TOOL AND METHOD

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 September 9, 2005

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed August 10, 2005, Applicant hereby elects restriction to the invention of claims 1-29, Group I. These are drawn to a pet refuse tool.

Applicant further elects the species of FIGS. 1-6 and 8-13 for prosecution on the merits. It is respectfully submitted that these should not be regarded as patentably distinct from the species of FIGS. 19A-C, in which the jaws (upper and lower) are differently shaped but similar in function.

Applicant further traverses the requirement for restriction as between the tool and the method claims. The examiner refers to "materially different products such as waste collection devices...." It is respectfully submitted that the invention is a waste collection device and not necessarily different from a "rake" or other tool having a gripping head and used with a bag in the manner defined by the method claims. In fact, it is believed that such a method would not be patentably distinct.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appl. No. 10/620,665

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Thomas M. Small (Reg. No. 20,379) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

'

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(714) 708-8555

TMS/lmt L8344-01003

I hereby Certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and

Trademark Office:

Septembe

Lani M. Tillynon
Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

BEST AVAILABLE COPY